organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

N-[*tert*-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-(*Z*)- α , β dehydrophenylalanylglycyl-(*E*)- α , β dehydrophenylalanylphenylalanyl]-4-nitroaniline ethanol solvate

Maciej Makowski,^a Anna Brzuszkiewicz,^b* Marek Lisowski^b and Tadeusz Lis^b

^aInstitute of Chemistry, University of Opole, 48 Oleska Street, 45-052 Opole, Poland, and ^bFaculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 14 Joliot-Curie Street, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: annab@wcheto.chem.uni.wroc.pl

Received 10 February 2005 Accepted 12 May 2005 Online 11 June 2005

The α,β -dehydrophenylalanine residues influence the conformation of the title pentapeptide Boc⁰–Gly¹– Δ^{Z} Phe²–Gly³– Δ^{E} Phe⁴–L-Phe⁵–*p*-NA ethanol solvate, C₄₂H₄₃N₇O₉·C₂H₅OH. The first unsaturated phenylalanyl (Δ^{Z} Phe²) and the third glycyl (Gly³) residues form a type I β turn, while the second unsaturated phenylalanyl (Δ^{E} Phe⁴) and the last phenylalanyl (L-Phe⁵) residues are part of a type II β turn. All the amino acids in the peptide are linked *trans* to one another. The crystal structure is stabilized by intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Comment

 α,β -Dehydroamino acid residues (amino acids with a double bond between the C_{α} and C_{β} atoms) have been found in many biologically active peptides having antibiotic properties (Noda et al., 1983). Incorporation of a dehydroamino acid into a peptide decreases conformational flexibility (Aubry et al., 1984). The molecular structures of α,β -dehydrophenylalaninecontaining (Δ Phe) peptides have shown that α,β -dehydrophenylalanine induces β turns (Venkatachalam, 1968) in short sequences with one Δ Phe residue (Główka *et al.*, 1987; Główka, 1988) and a 310 helical conformation in longer sequences (Rajashankar et al., 1992; Rajashankar, Ramakumar, Jain et al., 1995; Rajashankar, Ramakumar, Mal et al., 1995; Padmanabhan & Singh, 1993; Jain et al., 1997). The number and position of Δ Phe residues and the type of neighbouring amino acids also play an important role in peptide chain conformation (Rajashankar et al., 1996).

The present paper reports the crystal structure of the title pentapeptide Boc⁰-Gly¹- Δ^{Z} Phe²-Gly³- Δ^{E} Phe⁴-L-Phe⁵-*p*-NA ethanol solvate (*p*-NA is *para*-nitroaniline), (I), containing one Δ^{Z} Phe (*Z* isomer of an α,β -dehydrophenylalanine residue, *i.e.* with the aromatic ring *cis* to the N atom) between two flexible glycine residues and one Δ^E Phe (*E* isomer of an α,β -dehydrophenylalanine residue, *i.e.* with the aromatic ring *trans* to the N atom) between glycine and phenylalanine residues. There is one molecule in the asymmetric part of the unit cell. The atom-numbering scheme and a general view of the molecule are shown in Fig. 1, while selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1.



The $C_{\alpha} = C_{\beta}$ (C8=C9 and C19=C20) distances for the Δ Phe residues of (I) are in agreement with those found in other structures containing Δ Phe (Główka, 1988). A shortening of about 0.045 (7) Å for the N2– $C_{\alpha}8$ bond in $\Delta^{Z}Phe^{2}$ and 0.041 (7) Å for the N4– C_{α} 19 bond in Δ^{E} Phe⁴ is observed with respect to the corresponding bonds in the saturated Phe⁵ residue (N5-C_{α}28). The torsion angles χ^2 [6.3 (11)°], $\chi^{2,1}$ $[22.3 (11)^{\circ}]$ and $\chi^{2,2}$ $[-160.4 (7)^{\circ}]$ of the Δ^{Z} Phe² residue suggest that its side chain is almost planar. The torsion angles χ^4 [-172.3 (6)°], $\chi^{4,1}$ [38.3 (11)°] and $\chi^{4,2}$ [-144.2 (7)°] of the Δ^{E} Phe⁴ residue suggest that, in this case, the side chain is antiperiplanar (Table 1). The steric contacts between the sidechain and main-chain atoms of Δ^{Z} Phe² and Δ^{E} Phe⁴ are partially relaxed by rearrangement of the bond angles at the C_{α} and C_{β} atoms of these residues. As in other cases (Pieroni et al., 1975, 1976–77; Aubry et al., 1985), the cinnamic moieties of the Δ Phe residues in the title peptide are not planar. The torsion angles between the C=C and C=O bonds of Δ^{Z} Phe² and Δ^{E} Phe⁴ are 29.1 (9) and -113.2 (8)°, respectively.

All the amino acids in the title pentapeptide are linked *trans* to one another. The deviations of all ω angles are not larger than 9°. The values of torsion angles φ and ψ of the Δ^{Z} Phe² and Gly³ residues suggest a type I β turn conformation, while the torsion angles of Δ^{E} Phe⁴ and Phe⁵ indicate that these residues form a type II β turn. The torsion angles of the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl group (φ^{0} and ω^{0}) correspond to a *trans-trans* conformation.

The conformation of (I) is stabilized by nine intramolecular and four intermolecular hydrogen bonds of different types, namely N-H...O, C-H...N, N-H...N and C-H...O (Table 2). The carbonyl O atoms of Gly¹ (O3) and Gly³ (O5)



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Dashed lines indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds.

take part in N4–H4D···O3 and N6–H6C···O5 hydrogen bonds, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1. The π electrons of the aromatic ring of Δ^{E} Phe⁴ take part in C–H··· π interactions with atom H4B of Boc and atom H35A of L-Phe⁵. The distances and angles between C–H in the alkyl group and the centre of the Δ^{E} Phe⁴ ring (denoted Cg1) are 3.883 Å and 150°, respectively, for the C4–H4B···Cg1 contact and 3.557 Å and 172° for the C35–H35A···Cg1 contact (Table 2).

These results show that the presence of two α,β -dehydrophenylalanyl residues induces β turns in the pentapeptide Boc⁰-Gly¹- Δ^{Z} Phe²-Gly³- Δ^{E} Phe⁴-L-Phe⁵-*p*-NA. This is consistent with the results for other short peptides, such as the tetrapeptide Boc⁰-Gly¹- Δ^{Z} Phe²-Gly³-Phe⁴-*p*-NA (Ejsmont *et al.*, 2001).

Experimental

The synthesis of Boc–Gly– Δ^Z Phe has been described by Makowski *et al.* (1985). Boc–Gly– Δ^E Phe–L-Phe–*p*-NA was obtained in the same manner as its *Z* isomer (Makowski *et al.*, 2001); instead of TBTU [2-(1*H*-benzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate], isobutyl chloroformate (0.26 ml, 2 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (3.5 ml) was used. Isomers *E* and *Z* of Boc–Gly– Δ Phe–L-Phe–*p*-NA were separated on a silica-gel H-60 column (Merck), eluting with EtOAc (1–40%) in benzene. Yields of isomers *E* and *Z* were 18 and 53%, respectively. Gly– Δ^E Phe–L-Phe–*p*-NA was obtained according to the method described by Makowski *et al.* (2001) and used for further synthesis without characterization. The only modification was that, instead of dissolution in ethyl ether and evaporation, the oily deblocked peptide was dissolved in propan-2-ol (20 ml) and preci-

pitated with hexane. TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) (0.139 ml, 1 mmol) was added to a solution of Boc–Gly– Δ^{Z} Phe (0.16 g, 0.5 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (2 ml) and the solution was cooled to 263 K. Isobutyl chloroformate (0.066 ml, 0.5 mmol) was then added and the mixture was left for 1.5 min at this temperature. Finally, Gly– Δ^{E} Phe–L-Phe– p-NA (0.3 g, 0.5 mmol) was added and the reaction was carried out for 22 h at room temperature. The precipitate which formed was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml) and washed successively with 2 M HCl (2×3 ml), saturated potassium bicarbonate (3×3 ml) and brine (3 ml). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, the drying agent was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated. The product was crystallized from EtOAc-benzene (1:1)/hexane. The purity of the peptide (100%) was checked by high-perfomance liquid chromatography using an Alltech Alltima column (C-18, 5 μ m, 150 \times 4.6 mm); solvent system: A 0.1% TFA, B MeCN, A:B 35:65, flow rate 1 ml min⁻¹ [vield 0.305 g (77%), m.p. 476–478 K]. Elemental analysis calculated for C₄₂H₄₃N₇O₉: C 63.87, H 5.49%; found: C 64.04, H 5.28%. Long thin needle-shaped crystals of Boc^0 -Gly¹- $\Delta^Z Phe^2$ - $Gly^3 - \Delta^E Phe^4 - L - Phe^5 - p - NA \cdot C_2 H_5 OH$, (I), suitable for X-ray structure analysis, were grown at room temperature from a solution in ethanol. The crystals are sensitive and decompose in air.

Crystal data	
$C_{42}H_{43}N_7O_9 \cdot C_2H_6O$	$D_x = 1.299 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 835.90$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Cell parameters from 7064
$a = 13.080 (4) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 8.998 (3) Å	$\theta = 3-73^{\circ}$
c = 18.406 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 99.37 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 100 (2) K
$V = 2137.4 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Long thin needle, yellow
Z = 2	$0.45 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$ mm

Data collection

Oxford Xcalibur PX κ-geometry diffractometer with CCD area	14 511 measured reflections 4423 independent reflections 2794 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$
ω and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.085$
Absorption correction: analytical	$\theta_{\rm max} = 73.5^{\circ}$
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford	$h = -16 \rightarrow 13$
Diffraction, 2003)	$k = -9 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.828, T_{\max} = 0.988$	$l = -22 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.085P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.072$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.192$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 1.10	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.57 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
4423 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
552 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H-atom parameters constrained	(Sheldrick, 1997)
	Extinction coefficient: 0.0051 (7)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

1.419 (7)	C8-C9	1.344 (9)
1.423 (8)	C19-C20	1.351 (8)
1.464 (7)	C28-C29	1.529 (8)
123.2 (6)	N4-C19-C27	115.5 (5)
118.8 (6)	N5-C28-C36	113.1 (5)
117.9 (5)	N5-C28-C29	112.7 (5)
119.4 (6)	C36-C28-C29	112.9 (5)
124.8 (6)		
-176.9 (6)	N3-C17-C18-N4	13.6 (9)
-176.4(6)	C17-C18-N4-C19	174.9 (6)
87.5 (8)	C18-N4-C19-C27	38.6 (8)
164.5 (6)	N4-C19-C20-C21	-172.3(6)
171.5 (6)	C19-C20-C21-C22	38.3 (11)
59.1 (8)	C19-C20-C21-C26	-144.2(7)
6.3 (11)	N4-C19-C27-N5	-120.8(6)
-160.4(7)	C19-C27-N5-C28	-176.3(5)
22.3 (11)	C27-N5-C28-C36	-109.7(7)
23.7 (9)	N5-C28-C36-N6	28.9 (8)
-177.1(6)	C28-C36-N6-C37	176.1 (6)
82.2 (8)		
	$\begin{array}{c} 1.419\ (7)\\ 1.423\ (8)\\ 1.464\ (7)\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 123.2\ (6)\\ 118.8\ (6)\\ 117.9\ (5)\\ 119.4\ (6)\\ 124.8\ (6)\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} -176.9\ (6)\\ -176.4\ (6)\\ 87.5\ (8)\\ 164.5\ (6)\\ 171.5\ (6)\\ 59.1\ (8)\\ 6.3\ (11)\\ -160.4\ (7)\\ 22.3\ (11)\\ 23.7\ (9)\\ -177.1\ (6)\\ 82.2\ (8)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H distances in the range 0.95–1.00 Å and N–H distances of 0.88 Å, and were allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,N})$. The absolute structure was chosen on the basis of the known absolute configuration of the L-phenylalanine residue. The Friedel pairs were merged. Owing to the large anisotropic displacement parameter, the ethanol molecule is certainly slightly disordered, but the type of disorder could not be resolved.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXD* (Sheldrick, 2002); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

The C-H··· π interaction is a hydrogen bond occurring between C-H in an alkyl group and the π system of Δ^{E} Phe⁴. The centroid of the Δ^{E} Phe⁴ ring is denoted Cg1.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1-H1D\cdots O4^{i}$	0.88	2.05	2.734 (8)	134
$N2-H2D\cdots O6^{i}$	0.88	1.94	2.784 (7)	161
$N3-H3D\cdots O3^{i}$	0.88	2.36	3.057 (7)	137
$C6-H6B\cdots O4^{i}$	0.99	2.49	3.018 (10)	113
$C13-H13A\cdots O7^{ii}$	0.95	2.42	3.222 (9)	142
C3−H3 <i>B</i> ···O2	0.98	2.33	2.924 (10)	118
$C4-H4A\cdots O2$	0.98	2.55	3.085 (12)	114
C31-H31A···O7	0.95	2.51	3.101 (8)	120
C38-H38A···O7	0.95	2.21	2.820 (8)	121
$C11 - H11A \cdot \cdot \cdot N2$	0.95	2.52	3.080 (9)	118
$N4-H4D\cdots O3$	0.88	2.09	2.925 (7)	158
N6−H6C···O5	0.88	2.12	2.974 (7)	163
$N4 - H4D \cdots N3$	0.88	2.36	2.766 (8)	108
$N6-H6C \cdot \cdot \cdot N5$	0.88	2.35	2.764 (7)	109
O10−H10A···O2	0.84	2.00	2.843 (10)	178
$C4-H4B\cdots Cg1$	0.98	3.00	3.883	150
$C35-H35A\cdots Cg1$	0.95	2.61	3.557	172

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, 2 - z; (ii) x, y, z + 1.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN1081). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Aubry, A., Allier, F., Boussard, G. & Marraud, M. (1985). *Biopolymers*, 24, 639–646.
- Aubry, A., Boussard, G. & Marraud, M. (1984). C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. II, 299, 1031–1033.
- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ejsmont, K., Makowski, M. & Zaleski, J. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 205-207.
- Główka, M. L. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1639-1641.
- Główka, M. L., Gilli, G., Bertolasi, V. & Makowski, M. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1403–1406.
- Jain, R. M., Rajashankar, K. R., Ramakumar, S. & Chauhan, V. S. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 3205–3211.
- Makowski, M., Pawełczak, M., Latajka, R., Nowak, K. & Kafarski, P. (2001). J. Peptide Sci. 7, 141–145.
- Makowski, M., Rzeszotarska, B., Kubica, Z. & Pietrzyński, G. (1985). Liebigs Ann. Chem. 14, 893–900.
- Noda, K., Shimohigashi, Y. & Izumiya, N. (1983). *Peptides: Analysis, Synthesis, Biology*, Vol. 5, edited by E. Gross & J. Meienhofer, pp. 285–339. New York: Academic Press.
- Oxford Diffraction (2003). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Version 1.171. Oxford Diffraction Poland, Wrocław, Poland.
- Padmanabhan, B. & Singh, T. P. (1993). *Biopolymers*, **33**, 613–619.
- Pieroni, O., Fissi, A., Merlino, S. & Ciardelli, F. (1976-77). Israel J. Chem. 15, 22-28.
- Pieroni, O., Montagnoli, G., Fissi, A., Merlino, S. & Ciardelli, F. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 6820–6826.
- Rajashankar, K. R., Ramakumar, S. & Chauhan, V. S. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 9225–9226.
- Rajashankar, K. R., Ramakumar, S., Jain, R. M. & Chauhan, V. S. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1174, 11773–11779.
- Rajashankar, K. R., Ramakumar, S., Mal, T. K., Jain, R. M. & Chauhan, V. S. (1995). *Biopolymers*, **35**, 141–147.
- Rajashankar, K. R., Ramakumar, S., Mal, T. K., Jain, R. M. & Chauhan, V. S. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 765–768.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SHELXD. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Venkatachalam, C. M. (1968). Biopolymers, 6, 1425-1436.